

```

Min := 0      Max := 10      Inc := 0.2      x := Min, Min + Inc .. Max      s := .3      K := 1
i := Min .. Max      ε = .001      σ := .5      f(x) := cos(2·π·s·x)
j := 0 .. 3·Max + 16
S(x,τ,σ) := if [ (|x - τ| < 2.5), K·e-0.5·((x-τ)/σ)2, 0 ]
K := 1 / (S(0,0,σ) + 2·S(0,1,σ))      K = 0.787

```

3X Sinc Resampling with Gaussian Interpolation

Ken Castleman
Jan. 27, 1991

f_03-12.MCD

Suppose we have a signal $f(x) := \cos(2\pi \cdot s \cdot x)$

$s = 0.3$

which is sampled $A_i := f(i)$

and interpolated by

$$S(x, \tau, \sigma) := K \cdot e^{-0.5 \cdot \left(\frac{x-\tau}{\sigma}\right)^2}$$

$K = 0.787$ $\sigma = 0.5$

$$h(i, x) := A_i \cdot S(x, i, \sigma)$$

The interpolated function is

$$g(x) := \sum_i h(i, x)$$

which differs somewhat from the original function in both amplitude and wavelshape.




Figure 3-11

Even though the function is oversampled ($s = 0.3$ cycles per sample), interpolation with a Gaussian interpolation function (display spot) does not give a good reconstruction.

3X Resampling

We can improve the interpolation process by resampling the function at a 3X higher sampling density. The hard way to do this is to perform the original sampling at a higher sampling frequency. An easier way is to create two new sample points between each pair of existing sample points by interpolating them with $\sin(x)/x$. Since the function is oversampled to begin with, the result is the same.

$$B_j := 0 \quad B_{3:i} := A_i$$

$$p(x) := \frac{\sin(\pi \cdot x)}{\pi \cdot x}$$

$$p(.333) = 0.827$$

$$p(.667) = 0.413$$

$$p(1.333) = -0.207$$

$$p(1.667) = -0.165$$

The interpolation coefficients must be normalized to sum to 1.0 so that areas of constant amplitude will be preserved.

$$\text{Sum} := p(.333) + p(1.33) + p(2.33) + p(.667) + p(1.667) + p(2.667)$$

$$C1 := \frac{p(2.333)}{\text{Sum}} \quad C2 := \frac{p(1.333)}{\text{Sum}} \quad C3 := \frac{p(0.333)}{\text{Sum}} \quad \text{Sum} = 1.09$$

$$C4 := \frac{p(.667)}{\text{Sum}} \quad C5 := \frac{p(1.667)}{\text{Sum}} \quad C6 := \frac{p(2.667)}{\text{Sum}}$$

Interpolation fills in the new "synthetic" sample points.

$$k := 7, 10 \dots 31$$



$$B_k := C1 \cdot B_{k-7} + C2 \cdot B_{k-4} + C3 \cdot B_{k-1} + C4 \cdot B_{k+2} + C5 \cdot B_{k+5} + C6 \cdot B_{k+8}$$

$$k := 8, 11 \dots 32$$


$$B_k := C6 \cdot B_{k-8} + C5 \cdot B_{k-5} + C4 \cdot B_{k-2} + C3 \cdot B_{k+1} + C2 \cdot B_{k+4} + C1 \cdot B_{k+7}$$

$$B_4 := B_{14} \quad B_5 := B_{15} \quad B_1 := B_{11} \quad B_2 := B_{12}$$

$$h(j, y) := B_j \cdot S(y, j, \sigma) \quad g(y) := \sum_j h(j, y)$$



{a}



(b)

Figure 3-12

(a) Notice how this sampled function looks easier to interpolate.

(b) Notice how this interpolated function more closely resembles the original waveshape.