



COLORADO COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL DISCIPLINE

899 Logan Street, Suite 307

Denver, Colorado 80203

(303) 894-2110

July 12, 2006

Sean Harrington
P.O. Box 351855
Westminster, Colorado 80035

C O N F I D E N T I A L

Dear Mr. Harrington:

This letter confirms receipt of your letter of complaint, dated July 3, 2006, with supporting documentation, which information supplements the additional information contained in your earlier letters to me, dated February 6, 2006; March 10, 2006; and March 31, 2006.


As I have told you in my earlier correspondence to you and in our telephone conversations, the Commission on Judicial Discipline reviews complaints about ethical conduct by state judges. However, because it is not a court, it does not have any authority to review legal or factual aspects of a person's case. It also does not have the authority to review the rulings, orders or decisions that a judge may make when presiding over a person's case. All of those matters can be reviewed only through the appellate process, for which you should seek legal advice.

As you noted in your July 3, 2006, letter, the "recusal Motion was filed, docketed and even acknowledged by the respondent judge and she has intentionally delayed ruling on the Motion..." You would need to seek legal advice on this issue since your matter is part of a pending legal case in which you are an interested party. Just as the Commission could not assist the other party or parties who may be involved in this case, it cannot assist you with legal aspects of this case. I am sorry, but you need to pursue legal remedies within the judicial process itself.

Please be reminded that this matter is strictly confidential pursuant to Article VI, Section 23(3)(g), Colorado Constitution, and sections 24-72-401 and 402, Colorado Revised Statutes.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,


Richard A. Wehmhoefer
Executive Director and General Counsel
RAW/